1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 DOCK McNEELY, Civ. S-00-1358 DFL PAN P 12 Petitioner, 13 V. 14 LOU BLANAS, 15 Respondent. NON-RELATED CASE ORDER 16 Civ. S-00-1508 WBS DAD DOCK McNEELY, 17 Plaintiff, 18 V. 19 SACRAMENTO COUNTY, et al. 20 Defendants. 21 22 DOCK McNEELY, Civ. S-00-2797 DFL PAN P 23 Plaintiff, 24 V. 25 SACRAMENTO COUNTY, et al. 26 Defendants.

Case 2:01-cv-02084-EJG-GGH Document 8 Filed 10/21/05 Page 2 of 3

1	DOCK McNEELY,		Civ.	S-01-2084	EJG	GGH	Ρ
2	Plaintiff,						
3	V.						
4	ROY K. SIMMONS, et al.						
5	Defendants.	/					
6	DOCK McNEELY,	_/	Civ	S-03-2172	דיםת	D 7\ NI	
7			CIV.	5 05 2172	υгп	LAN	
8	Plaintiff,						
9	V.						
10	EDWARD BONNER, et al.						
11	Defendants.	_/					
12	DOCK McNEELY,		Civ.	S-04-0062	DFL	PAN	
13	Plaintiff,						
14	V.						
15	LOU BLANAS, et al.						
16	Defendants.	/					
17	DOCK McNEELY,	_′	Civ.	S-04-1215	DFL	PAN	
18	Plaintiff,						
19	v.						
20	EDWARD BONNER, et al.						
21	Defendants.						
22		_/					
23							
24							
25							
26							
		2					

Case 2:01-cv-02084-EJG-GGH Document 8 Filed 10/21/05 Page 3 of 3

1	DOCK McNEELY, Civ. S-05-1401 MCE DAD
2	Plaintiff,
3	V.
4	SACRAMENTO COUNTY, et al.
5	Defendants.
6	/
	A Notice of Related Cases was filed concerning the above-
7	captioned cases on September 27, 2005. <u>See</u> Local Rule 83-123
8	(E.D. Cal. 2005). On review of the cases, the court finds that
9	the cases ought not be related or reassigned. This order is
LO	issued for informational purposes only, and shall have no effect
L1	on the status of the cases, including any previous Related (or
L2	Non-Related) Case Order of this court. Therefore, they shall not
L3	be considered related.
L 4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
L5	DATED: 10/20/2005
L6	
L7	
L8	(Dav.) 7. (80.
L 9	DAVID F. LEVI United States District Judge
20	onited States District oddye
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26